REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 5-22 remain pending in the present application.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 5-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over <u>Asakawa</u>, et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,825,056, hereinafter "<u>Asakawa</u>"); Claims 14-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over <u>Asakawa</u> in view of <u>Bailey</u>, et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 7,229,273, hereinafter "<u>Bailey</u>"); and Claims 5-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over <u>Sugiyama</u> et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 7,037,738, hereinafter "<u>Sugiyama</u>");.

Regarding the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections based on Asakawa and Sugiyama, filed herewith is an accurate translation of Applicants' Japanese Priority Document JP 2003-049612, which hereby completes Applicants' perfection of claim to priority. The filing date of the priority document was February 26, 2003. The priority document provides support for the presently pending claims. Thus, Applicants are entitled to February 26, 2003 filing date.

Asakawa and Sugiyama qualify only as prior art under 35 U.S.C. §102(e), as Applicants' February 26, 2003 priority date predates the September 18, 2003, publication date of Asakawa and the September 25, 2003, publication date of Sugiyama.

However, Applicants note that <u>Asakawa</u> and <u>Sugiyama</u> and the present application were, at the time the claimed invention was made, owned by or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. In other words, the present application and the <u>Asakawa</u> and <u>Sugiyama</u> references, were, at the time the invention of this application was made, subject to an obligation of assignment to Kabushiki Kaisha Toshiba. In view of the above noted facts and based on M.P.E.P. § 706.02(1)(2) II, it is believed that common ownership of this application and <u>Asakawa</u> and Sugiyama has been established.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that under 35 U.S.C. § 103(c), <u>Asakawa</u> and <u>Sugiyama</u> are disqualified as prior art. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that all the outstanding rejections based on <u>Asakawa</u> and <u>Sugiyama</u> are moot in view of the above perfection of priority and disqualification for commonly owned subject matter.

Since Applicant has not substantively amended the claims in response to any rejection on the merits, a further rejection of these claims based on newly cited prior art in the next communication cannot properly be considered a Final Office Action.

Consequently, in light of the above discussion, the outstanding grounds for rejection are believed to have been overcome. The application is believed to be in condition for formal allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAYER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters Attorney of Record Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 08/07)